

**LEWIS
AND
ROCA**
LLP
LAWYERS

E-Filed on 1/8/10

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
Facsimile (702) 949-8321
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,
LLC,

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,¹

USA SECURITIES, LLC,²

Debtors.

Affects:

- ☐ All Debtors
☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR¹
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR²

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No.
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**OBJECTION OF USACM TRUST TO
PROOFS OF CLAIM BASED, IN
WHOLE OR IN PART, UPON
INVESTMENT IN ROAM
DEVELOPMENT LOAN; AND
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Date of Hearing: February 5, 2010
Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves under § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proof of Claim filed by individual investors ("Direct Lenders") against USA

¹ This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

² This bankruptcy case was closed on December 26, 2007.

1 Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) to the extent such claims are based, in
2 whole or in part, upon an investment in the Roam Development Loan (the “Roam
3 Development Loan”). This Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the
4 Declaration of Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim
5 Based Upon the Investment in Roam Development Loan filed with the Court on January 9,
6 2009, [DE 6722] (the “Burr Decl.”).

7 **I. INTRODUCTION**

8 On December 19, 2006, the borrower paid off the Roam Development Loan in full.
9 In turn, USACM distributed the proceeds to the Direct Lenders who were paid in full,
10 subject to servicing fees and any other charges under their respective Loan Servicing
11 Agreements and this Court’s orders, including the Confirmation Order. This Objection
12 seeks an order from the Court disallowing Direct Lender claims to the extent they are
13 based, in whole in part, upon an investment in the Roam Development Loan. To the
14 extent a Direct Lender claim is based upon an investment in a different loan, it will not be
15 impacted by this Objection.

16 **II. JURISDICTION**

17 The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and
18 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core
19 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

20 The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and
21 Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

22 **III. BACKGROUND**

23 On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM, USA Securities, LLC (“USA
24 Securities”), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC (“USA Realty”), USA Capital
25 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC (“DTDF”), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
26 (“FTDF,” together with DTDF, the “Funds”) (collectively, the “Debtors”), filed voluntary

1 petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors continued to operate
2 their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of
3 the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors' post-petition management of the Debtors was under the
4 direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC
5 ("Mesirow"), who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

6 USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the
7 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans
8 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That
9 business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase fractional interest in
10 loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors, totaling approximately
11 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in USACM's bankruptcy
12 case and in this Objection. Although USACM serviced and sometimes originated the
13 loans in which the Direct Lenders invested, generally USACM was not a borrower on
14 these loans.

15 On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors' Third
16 Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" as Modified Herein [Docket No.
17 2376] (the "Confirmation Order"). Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to
18 USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims. The
19 USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007.
20 Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

21 The spreadsheet attached as **Exhibit A** lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders
22 that appear to be based upon an investment in the Roam Development Loan. **Exhibit A**
23 shows the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the total amount of
24 the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an investment in
25 the Roam Development Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. The
26

1 claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the Roam Development Claims. A
2 copy of the first page of the proof(s) of claim listed on **Exhibit A** is attached as **Exhibit**
3 **B**.

4 On or about December 19, 2006, the Roam Development Loan was paid off in full,
5 as reflected in USACM's books and records. (Burr Decl. ¶ 9.) Shortly thereafter,
6 USACM processed the payoff and distributed the proceeds to the Direct Lenders on the
7 Roam Development Loan. *Id.* The Direct Lenders were in turn paid in full for their
8 investment in the Roam Development Loan, subject to servicing fees and any other
9 charges under their respective Loan Servicing Agreements and this Court's orders,
10 including the Confirmation Order. (Decl. ¶ 10.) In general, the Direct Lenders received
11 their principal and interest on the Roam Development Loan, less sums withheld for
12 servicing fees, servicer advances, Prepaid Interest and sums known as the 2% Holdback
13 pursuant to the confirmed Plan. *Id.* On the Effective Date of the Plan, the Prepaid Interest
14 was applied, a portion of the 2% Holdback was applied to the allowed claims of the Direct
15 Lender Committee, and the balance paid to the Direct Lenders. *Id.* Accordingly, the
16 USACM Trust no longer has further obligations to the Direct Lenders arising out of the
17 Roam Development Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 11.)

18 **IV. OBJECTION**

19 Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed
20 will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof
21 of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and
22 shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable against the
23 debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is
24 contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). The USACM Trust is entitled to object to
25 proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed
26

1 Plan, as the deadline for such objections has been extended to February 5, 2010, by this
2 Court's orders.

3 The USACM Trust objects to the Roam Development Claim and requests that the
4 Court disallow the claims listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon a
5 Direct Lender investment in the Roam Development Loan because the Direct Lenders were
6 paid in full on their investments in Roam Development Loan. The USACM Trust asks that
7 the Court sustain this objection and grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

8 Dated: January 8, 2010.

9 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

10
11 By /s/ John C. Hinderaker

12 Rob Charles, NV 6593

13 John C. Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

14 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

16 Telephone: (702) 949-8200

17 Facsimile: (702) 949-8398

18 E-mail: jhinderaker@LRLaw.com

19 *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

20 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent
21 Portion of Exhibit A and Exhibit B
22 mailed by first class
23 Postage prepaid U.S. mail
24 On January 8, 2010 to:

25 All parties in interest listed on
26 Exhibit A attached

/s/ Leilani Lista

Leilani Lista

Lewis and Roca LLP